1	
2	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  CHARLESTON DIVISION
3	
4	
5	IN RE: LIPITOR 2:14-MN-2502
6	
7	
8	
9	
L O	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE
1	THURSDAY, MAY 21, 2015  BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD M. GERGEL,
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
L3	
L 4	
15	
16	
L 7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	Court Reporter: Amy C. Diaz, RPR, CRR
24	P.O. Box 835 Charleston, SC 29402
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical shorthand Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
	41

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	APPEARED FOR PLAINTIFFS:
4	Blair Hahn, Esquire
5	Christian Marcum, Esquire Josh Mankoff, Esquire Rob Jenner, Esquire Lindsey Craig, Esquire Chad Ihrig, Esquire Ann Rice Ervin, Esquire Margaret Branch, Esquire Turner Branch, Esquire David Miceli, Esquire Mark Tanenbaum, Esquire Lisa Ann Gorshe, Esquire Mitchell Breit, Esquire Michael Heaviside, Esquire Catherine Heacox, Esquire Mary Lou Boelcke, Esquire Elizabeth Chambers, Esquire
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	APPEARED FOR DEFENDANTS:
15	Mark Cheffo, Esquire
16	Mark Cherro, Esquire Michael Cole, Esquire Mark Jones, Esquire
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

THE COURT: Okay. Eunice, are we online? 1 2 THE CLERK: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Very good. This is the matter of In Re: Lipitor MDL 2:14-2502. 4 Could counsel who will be speaking on the record 5 here in the courtroom identify themselves for the record, 6 7 starting with plaintiffs' counsel? 8 MR. HAHN: Blair Hahn for the plaintiffs. 9 MR. CHEFFO: Mark Cheffo. 10 THE COURT: Very good. Folks, I'm told now that we 11 have 2,162 cases from 48 states, so at least part of our 12 constituency is here in the courtroom, it looks like. Welcome everybody to Charleston. I notice we seem to get 1.3 14 more people at our monthly meetings in the spring than we do in the winter. I'm sure it is just a coincidence. 15 16 I had -- I wanted -- as a housekeeping matter, I 17 wanted to address that I had initially scheduled for next 18 month the monthly meeting on June 18th because I had a very 19 complicated criminal case that was beginning the next Monday. 20 That has now been continued. So if it suits everyone, we'll 21 just stay on June 25th. Does that suit everybody? Very 22 good. I knew there was some conflict there and I wanted to 23 accommodate everyone on that. 24 First, Mr. Hahn, do you want to share with me

anything that you want to bring to the Court's attention?

25

MR. HAHN: Thank you, Your Honor.

The only thing that I have to share with the Court is on our agenda, paragraph D, number 1, the McNair firm has chosen to drop off to the plaintiffs' steering committee.

THE COURT: Very good. And I think under our CMO, that is the procedure for handling that, as you are lead counsel, to handle that, correct?

MR. HAHN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you for advising me of that.

Are there any other matters that you need for me to address from the plaintiffs' viewpoint?

MR. HAHN: No, sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You know, I think we -- just for the record, we did have mid-month an issue come up, and we did address it. And I issued a CMO on that matter which would, had we not done it, we would have obviously been dealing with it today, but that is continued. My view is that one way to keep something as complicated as this moving is that you get prompt court attention when you need it, and I've tried to do that the best of my ability. And I would just continue to invite you, to the extent you see something may slow you down in any way, I am available by telephone. And if you need to do it in person, we can brief things very quickly.

I don't like to do things off the seat of my pants. So I do like when y'all have an issue, to the extent you can,

to put something in writing so I have some chance to sort of see what both sides -- what the concerns are on both sides and think about it a little bit before I actually interact with y'all. But even in situations where you have something like something comes up in a deposition and you need immediate attention, I'm glad to address it to the best of my ability. I'm generally not much anywhere else but this courthouse, so I'm usually available.

MR. HAHN: Thank you, Judge, and we will be calling you, I expect, within the next six weeks. As you know, our schedule is extremely tight and we have a number of depositions to get through. So sometimes tempers do flare, and you have been very helpful.

THE COURT: You know, my view of it is is it's a natural tension in a big case that people are going to be sometimes a little short with each other, a little impatient with each other. But if you have a reservoir of good will, then it's easier to get through those than if you are yakking at things that don't really matter. And if something really matters, you have such a poisonous relationship that you can't get anything accomplished, I'm willing to step in to anything y'all need me to do.

If you can't resolve it -- obviously we have important depositions that are coming up, sometimes there will be critical moments in those depositions where we really

don't have time to reconvene at some later date, and I'm glad to stop what I'm doing, to the extent I can. And my staff's instructed if I'm in a hearing or something, we may take a break and I'll come address it. I think it's important to keep this thing moving.

You know, one of the observations I've had about MDLs is that sometimes they are so taxing on the Court that sometimes there is -- it's very good --

(Telephone interruption.)

THE COURT: I'm sorry? Anyway, so that sometimes that they get so complicated and the issues build up and the Courts don't give enough attention and the parties just don't get -- nothing gets done. And I know I've had y'all a little bit on the Bataan Death March here in discovery, but I think it's important. Because though the issues are complicated, there is a certain amount of work that needs to be done, can be done if you make it your priority.

I told Mr. Hahn when he was appointed that I hope he didn't have too many other things on his calendar because this was going to take essentially all of his time.

And I take it it is; is it not?

MR. HAHN: Yes, sir, it is.

THE COURT: And that's understandable.

So I -- I do think it's important that you have access to the Court on short notice as necessary. I don't

access to the Court on sho

1.3

want to be involved in things that y'all work out yourselves, but -- you haven't really been doing that to me -- but there are things sometimes y'all are just going to have a fundamental disagreement with each other, and that's okay. I mean, that's hardly surprising that adversaries would actually, you know, agree on everything. I mean, that's just not the way the system works. So I want to continue to be available to you the best I can on as short notice as I can so we can keep this process moving.

Mr. Cheffo, do you have anything for the defendant you need to bring to my attention?

MR. CHEFFO: I really don't, Your Honor. I think we've laid out in our agenda -- I echo what Mr. Hahn said and what Your Honor said -- we are moving in the right direction. We have a lot of cases. I think just looking back sometimes it's good to take stock of where we are. And I think, as you will know with all of the disputes -- and we have had disputes -- I don't think that there has been any dispute of the typical -- there have been typical rancor of people yelling at each other at the depositions. I think to the extent we have issues, they have been legitimate. We've tried to work them out on both sides.

So, you know, again I think there will be issues, and you have been incredibly generous with your time about kind of being available to us. So we may have disputes which

we will continue to bring.

THE COURT: I expect you to have them, Mr. Cheffo.

You know, when you are dealing with an MDL, there are certain premises that I frankly approach it. Number one is we ought to be pretty liberal in discovery because it counts for 2,100 cases and you all tell me 8,000 waiting in the wings here. So, you know, thorough discovery.

But then on the other hand, you know, we have certain rules that we need to maintain those to give everybody to have a full shot. We don't want any surprises. We want everybody a chance to do full discovery, but we have to have some order. And I have been trying to, you know, sort of consistently apply those rules in a way that keeps the process going and gives everyone a full and fair opportunity to develop their case.

I don't expect anything to be happening before -anything to happen at trial y'all don't really know about.

There shouldn't be any surprises. That's not the nature of
"gotcha justice". These are important issues. They need to
be thoroughly aired and they need to be aired on the merits
and not on side issues that aren't important.

So y'all have been great. I have just great respect for both plaintiff and defense here. Y'all have just really done a great job. And I'm -- I won't be surprised as we get closer to the discovery I hear more from y'all; that's okay.

I don't expect y'all to agree on *Daubert* motions or on other pretrial matters, motions in limine, but we are going to head -- you know, be heading towards trial of this matter in November.

My -- you know, my goal is to try it -- we'll sort out the exact date for all that -- but my goal is to start it as soon as we can after we draw the jury in November. And our second bellwether trial I hope to do it in the January/February term. I understand there may be some potential conflicts I need to work around with my fellow judges, and I'm going to do that in the next couple of days so that they can keep their dockets moving just like I want to keep my docket moving.

Mr. Tanenbaum, you may be very tired at the end of January and February, I might say, because the Judges will be accommodating themselves and not you, you know, because I know Judge Duffy on the matter you are involved, he very much wants to try that case and I need to let him do that.

But we are -- you know, my goal is by the end of the -- of my January term, we will have tried the two bellwether cases. That's my intention. And I haven't seen any reason why we would be deviating from that.

So, you know, make your plans accordingly, get your vacations in now because I've got a feeling we are going to be pretty busy. Between now and sometime in February of 2016

we are going to be going full throttle, okay?

1.3

So are there any other matters that anyone else in the courtroom needs to raise with the Court?

Let me raise the issue, I think Pfizer has filed motions to dismiss in 12 cases for failure to complete the fact sheets.

Mr. Hahn, where are we on that? I think y'all got responses due in another week or so?

MR. HAHN: Yes, sir. I mean, I believe that's with various law firms that are involved.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. HAHN: And so I'm allowing those individual law firms to file their responses and we'll just work through that.

THE COURT: You know, I've tried to keep our focus primarily on the two bellwether cases, but there are certain, you know, fundamental responsibilities if you are going to be in the game here you need to meet. And I know that in the crazy period where people are getting clients in and bringing these cases, particularly these mass tort cases, sometimes some clients are going to be more serious about doing it than others. And I don't think we do anyone a favor keeping them hanging around, cases, and not having their required materials produced. And the sooner they get out of the way if they are not going to do it, the better.

So I urge you, you know, obviously you have a duty to consult on all of these; that y'all, you know, that y'all consult with each other and try to find some resolution of that. If not, I will promptly address that as soon as I receive a reply. Are there anyone out there on the phone who have any matter they would like to raise with the Court? Okay. Having heard nothing, I believe our May 2015 status conference is at the end and I will see you, if not sooner, next month. Thank you. I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-titled matter. Amy C. Diaz, RPR, CRR May 27, 2015 S/ Amy Diaz